UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,667	10/27/2003	Paul J. Maddon	P0741.70006US00	4456
75482 7590 07/22/2008 PROGENICS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. c/o WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			RAWLINGS, STEPHEN L	
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/695,667	MADDON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen L. Rawlings	1643	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

TI re ite

The amendment document filed on <u>31 January 2008</u> is considered in requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment ditem(s) is required.	•			
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDME 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	NT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:			
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.B. Other				
 ☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(c ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing corresponding amended figures, without markings, in corresponding to the contraction of th	d). ection has been eliminated. Replacement drawings			
	Il pending claims (including withdrawn claims) status identifier, and as such, the individual status cus of every claim must be indicated after its claim ifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), thdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).			
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in	accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):			
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CF	R 1.121, see MPEP § 714.			
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.				
. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
<u>Extensions of time</u> are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) on amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> s				
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant ame filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amend amendment. /Stephen L. Rawlings/ Examiner, Art Unit 1643				
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE). if applicable	Telephone No.			

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 1(c) Other: The amendment is non-compliant because the paragraph intended to replace the paragraph at page 27, beginning in line 32 is not marked in a manner consistent with the practice as set forth under 37 C.F.R. § 1.121, so as to show each and every change made relative to the immediate prior version as set forth by the amendment filed August 20, 2007.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: It appears that the claim set is a duplicate of the claim set of the amendment filed August 20, 2007, but if is not immediately apparent whether any changes relative to the earlier filed claim set have been made because the claims are not identified or marked in the manner that is consistent with the practice as set forth under 37 C.F.R. § 1.121. Moreover, if the claim set is indeed identical to the claim set of the earlier filed amendment, the present claim set is non-compliant because the status of the claims is not properly identified and none of the text of the claims should be marked to indicate that a change has been made relative to the prior version thereof.

/Stephen L. Rawlings/ Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D. Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643